

Appl. No. 10/022,027  
Atty Docket No. 8711RR  
Response dated June 25, 2004  
Reply to Office Action dated April 28, 2004

## REMARKS

### I. Introduction

Claims 1-23 and 25-30 are pending, and stand rejected. Claims 1-21 have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 27 and 30 have been allowed. Claims 28 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph. The provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection of Claims 22-23 from the prior Office Action has been maintained.

### II. The 35 U.S.C. Section 112 Rejections

The dependency of Claim 28 has been corrected. This should eliminate the 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph rejections of Claims 28 and 29.

### III. The Double Patenting Rejection


The Applicants are submitting a terminal disclaimer herewith.

### IV. Summary

All of the rejections have been addressed. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested as to Claims 22, 23, and 25-30.

Respectfully submitted,  
BRUCE BARGER, ET AL.

By

  
Jeffrey V. Bamber  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 31,148  
(513) 627-4597

June 25, 2004  
Customer No. 27752  
8711RR Amendment 6-2004.doc